



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| 10/695,023 | 10/28/2003 | Michael J. Lehr | 31356.30005 | 4272 |
| 26781 7590 07/11/2007 BROUSE MCDOWELL LPA 388 SOUTH MAIN STREET SUITE 500 AKRON, OH 44311 | | | EXAMINER FRISBY, KESHA | |
| | | | ART UNIT 3714 | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | MAIL DATE 07/11/2007 | DELIVERY MODE PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/695,023 | LEHR, MICHAEL J. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Kesha Frisby | 3714 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) *K4F* Kesha Frisby.

(3) Daniel Thomson Reg. No. 43,189.

(2) Primary Kathleen Mosser.

(4) Inventor Mike Lehr.

Date of Interview: 28 June 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Bajer and Bro.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Kathleen Mosser
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The inventor states that the main point of this invention is the concept of allegories and the basis of the symbols. For example, the instructor uses several allegories to perform a process, such as, depending on the training instructions of the instructor several allegories are used to deliver the training.

In the end, the applicant was advised to include in the claim language: 1) that an allegory is a symbol, 2) be more specific of what an allegory is and 3) state how allegories interact with each other. .